

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|--|-----------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/508,088 | 03/15/2000 | VASILIOS YIORYIOS PAPAYIORYIOU | 00049 | 7612 | | |
| 23338 | 7590 12/03/2002 | | | | | |
| DENNISON, SCHULTZ & DOUGHERTY 1745 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY ARLINGTON, VA 22202 | | | EXAMINER | | | |
| | | | PWU, JEFFREY C | | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 3624 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED: 12/03/2002 |) | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | | | \mathcal{N}' | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|----------------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| | | Applicati | nN. | Applicant(s) | | Λ | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | 09/508,08 | YIORYIOS | | VASILIOS | V | | | |
| | · | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | | Jeffrey Pv | | 3624 | Idross | | | | |
| Period fo | • | opears ir uie | cover sneet with the c | orresp nuence ac | iuress | | | | |
| THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rej- period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | .136(a). In no eve ply within the statu d will apply and will te, cause the appli | nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI | ely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ly. ommunication. | | | | |
| 1)🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/1 | 17/2002 ame | <u>ndment</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T | his action is | non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allow | | | | ne merits is | | | | |
| Dispositi | closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims | r Ex parte Qı | <i>layle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>14-30</u> is/are pending in the applicat | ion. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | awn from cor | sideration. | | | | | | |
| 5)□ | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-30</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7) | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | or election re | quirement. | | | | | | |
| | on Papers | | | | | | | | |
| ,— | The specification is objected to by the Examin | | | | | | | | |
| 10)[| The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to the control of the control | | | | | | | | |
| 44) 🗆 : | Applicant may not request that any objection to t | | | | | | | | |
| 11) | The proposed drawing correction filed on | | | ved by the Examir | ier. | | | | |
| 12\□ - | If approved, corrected drawings are required in rathe oath or declaration is objected to by the E | • • | ice action. | | | | | | |
| - | · | .xammer. | | | | | | | |
| | inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | don 25 U.S.C. \$ 110/o |) (d) as (f) | | | | | |
| • | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig | gn priority un | der 35 U.S.C. 9 119(a |)-(a) or (1). | | | | | |
| a)[| All b) Some * c) None of: | .4. hava haas | - received | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | |
| * S | application from the International B see the attached detailed Office action for a lis | Bureau (PCT I | Rule 17.2(a)). | | Stage | | | | |
| 14) 🗌 A | acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes | stic priority un | der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e | e) (to a provisiona | l application). | | | | |
| |) | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | • | , , | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | Specifysial de la companya de la com | · | r (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT | | | | | |
| | | | | . | | | | | |

Application: 09/508,088 (Papayioryiou)

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to the amendment, filed 2002-09-17.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2.

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 24 recites the limitation "the investor data" in the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 14-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by Eder (US 2002/0046143).

Application: 09/508,088 (Papayioryiou)

Art Unit: 3624

Eder teaches a computer based system and method for determining part of the value of an asset for investment by an investor, comprising:

receiving data from the investor (20) relating to market value of the asset and to a preferred term of the investment (221);

receiving data from the investor relating to potential return from the asset over the preferred term (222);

calculating a discount value for the asset from the potential return (229);

calculating market values for a range of potential investments using the discount value of the asset and the preferred term of investment (paragraph [0083]);

presenting the investor with a range of market values for the range of investments (see paragraph [0126] and [0176]);

receiving from the investor an indication of an investment selected from the range (see fig. 12, report, report selection, and calculate equity value and prepare report; 909, 915, and 778);

wherein the market values of the investments are calculated to be less than or equal to the future value of the asset (fig.12, steps 772-778);

wherein the terms of the investments are calculated to match the preferred term of investment (fig.12, steps772-778);

wherein the investments are calculated to match both the future value of the asset and the preferred term of investment (fig.12, steps772-778);and

calculating a discount value to the asset and using the discount value of the asset to calculate market values of a range of investments (paragraph [0083] and see steps 201-778).

Art Unit: 3624

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14-30 have been considered but are moot in 5. view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Pwu whose telephone number is (703) 308-7835

Jeffrey Pwu

1 December 2002